UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/772,202 | 02/03/2004 | Joel Thorson | 104015-0003 | 4219 |
| 35940 ATER WYNNE | 7590 05/29/200 E LLP | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| 1331 NW Love | joy St. Suite 900 | SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A | | |
| PORTLAND, C | JK 97209-2785 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2454 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/29/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/772,202 | THORSON, JOEL | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI | 2454 | |

| | MONAMINAD A. SIDDIQI | 2454 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appo | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | n. LED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | stension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extention Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the statement of the Notice of Appeal has been filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | • | () | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | nsideration and/or search (see NOTow); | ΓE below); | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | 1 (0 | | | | |
| NOTE: <i>The amendment to the claims raise new is</i> 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | ssues that would require further con | sideration and/or seai | <u>cn</u> .(See | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21 See attached Notice of Non Co. | mnliant Amendment (I | OTOL 324) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment (i | 10L-324). | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . | | I be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | ut does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Nathan J. Flynn/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |